The Parents Decide ACT is the KICK THE , POC, JEWS, MUSLIMS AND QUEERS OFF THE NET ACT!

"Parents decide act" HR8250 sets the ground work so that group the powerful doesn't like [Blacks, Hispanics, Jews, Pro-lifers] can be denied access to Electronic devices and services along with anyone who points out their bullshit.

The US Government is wrapping up ACCESS CONTROL as "age verification" And so will expose you and your kids to hackers and leaks of your face, drivers license, social security number, and anything else! The Electronic Fronteir Foundation and EVERY DIGITAL RIGHTS GROUP EVER is very clear there is no less bad solution to "age verification". There's dangerous in one way, and dangerous in another. Without robust privacy laws. they can store this data for a very long time. Recent leaks exposed over two hundred million Americans' licenses, passports social security numbers and so much more.

But that's not the worst Part

H.R.8250 — Parents Decide Act is GATE KEEP THE N**S, JEWS, AND, QUEERS, HISPANICS OFF THE INTERNET Act ALONG WITH ANYONE WHO SLIGHTLY CRITICISES THE CURRENT POWER STRUCTURE AND EANBLE ICE TO DETAIN MORE PEOPLE.**

This will put in the infrastructure that will make sure that certain people any person or any group [assume POC, race, color, creed, any group, and YOU] for any reason cannot use computers. That there's a centralized gate keeper that will decide if they like you or not.

the text of the bill is here. H.R.8250—Parents Decide Act

With how the conservatives howled about being censored off the net they decide to make it law that they can target anyone that says Trump may have been involved with Jeffery Epstein or is a convicted

felon. Or anyone else. And when the power inevitably shifts. I'm sure they'll be prohibited access too.

Everyone and any one is at risk when the pendulum swings and shifts.

Not only is this billtechnologically ignorant and trying to but a gun to the head of open source projects that try to protect people by not having advertisers spy on them… it's unworkable.

This is not to stop or track criminals

And they can do this legally. Free speech and the like doesn't enable you to have a smart phone or give you the means to have the most effective reach. You don't have a right to use a computer. You can use the roads but you dont' have the right to a bicycle. You are forced to walk on foot.

The US Government is wrapping up ACCESS CONTROL as "age verification" And so will expose you and your kids to hackers and leaks of your face, drivers license, social security number, and anything else! But that's not the worst part.

This will put in the infrastructure that will make sure that certain people any person or any group [assume POC, race, color, creed, any group, pro life, republican, and YOU] cannot use computers. That there's a centralized gate keeper prohibiting YOU and the marginalized

All to a centralized point of power to where … well the bill doesn't limit it and nor does anything else

Let's look at the people pushing this.

Elise Stefanik of the 21st District of New York (Plattsburgh, Clinton county) is co sponsoring this.

Washington, DC Office
2211 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
Phone: (202) 225–4611

Plattsburgh Office
137 Margaret Street, Suite 100
Plattsburgh, NY 12901
Phone: (518) 561–2324
Fax: (518) 561–2408

She was censured under **H. RES. 970** for obstructing the peaceful transfer of power. Having support for the people involved with the January Sixth debacle and "on December 15, 2023, Representative Stefanik improperly filed a bogus and vindictive ethics complaint against a Federal judge, who has overseen various criminal cases involving January 6 insurrectionists, alleging judicial misconduct

based on accurate remarks that “big lies” surrounding the 2020 election led to criminal conduct on January 6 and the convictions of hundreds of individuals”

Agree or disagree. This is the kind of thing that. if this bill were law and she were not of the privileged caste of politicians that kissed the ass of a convicted felon, she could be the target of the bill she’s co-sponsoring.

And, with her filing bogus and vindictive complaints, would censor and remove a person’s ability to use technology because they said something she didn’t like.

Oh and her Support of ICE.

Rep. Gottheimer, Josh [D-NJ-5] (Introduced 04/13/2026) is the main sponsor of the bill.

106 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225–4465

Referred to House Committee on Energy and Commerce.

https://energycommerce.house.gov/

In 2019, he had a public altercation with an elderly citizen-journalist at a town hall. In 2024, he was accused of targeting local high schoolers who protested his position on Gaza. Such a big man.

“But, let me be clear: If the president thinks he is going to go into churches and schools and round up innocent people, that’s not okay by me, and I’ll do everything in my power to protect Jersey families,” he said.

A neat distinction but, In Minnesota, at least nine children, ranging from ages 2 to 17, were reported detained in January 2026. One high-profile case involved 5-year-old Liam Conejo Ramos, who was detained while arriving home from preschool. With agents circling

schools and other spaces for aggressive deportation. And even though the media coverage is gone, It's still happening with the same intensity.

Why do i mention this?
Because open AI, and the age verification system of Persona was used to track, put people on watch list and aid and abet ICE for invasive and legally questionable seizures.

https://vmfunc.re/blog/persona



> ***what is Fivecast ONYX?*** *an AI-powered surveillance platform purchased by ICE for $4.2 million and CBP for additional license costs. according to Fivecast's own documentation and EFF's reporting, they do automated collection of multimedia data from social media and dark web, build "digital footprints" from biographical data, tracks shifts in sentiment and*

> *emotion, assigns risk scores, searches across 300+ platforms and 28+ billion data points, identifies people with "violent tendencies"*

> *hmm.. sounds a bit dystopian, doesn't it? it's not just a surveillance tool, it's a tool that can be used for good or evil. it's a tool that can be used to protect citizens, but it can also be used to oppress them :))*

Now we dont know if ICE is using this just yet. But the fact this is happening at all and we're becoming more of a surveillance state is no comfort.

It's not "Age verification" , it's Access Control and making sure people that congress considers bad to be unable to speak. be it pro-lifers, Catholics, Jews, and critics of government, people of color, you name it. The rights of even the worst person, if eroded, are your rights to be eroded next. All at the whim of what ever.

There's nothing in the bill preventing this kind of abuse.

It's a great way to make sure that any group of people, critical of the government cannot engage in technology. the new public square. The thing that actually… many people have no choice to depend on to reach and access essential resources. A restriction like this as mandated by government is DISGUSTING.

EVEN IN PART. or only for certain sites it is dangerous to upload your personally identifiable information. Chances are, you are already hacked now. I get wanting to protect kids but this won't do it. It's either dangerous one way or another.

I do not know Louis Rossman Nor does he endorse this message. I am only paraphrasing and not directly quoting, with my edits to make it easier for you to understand just how dangerous this is.

. . .

"Today we're going to be discussing HR8250 in the 119th United States Congress. This is a bill that came out a week ago.

It says to
"require operating system providers to verify the age of any user of an operating system and for other purposes. And the part that is the scariest is the part where the requirement is to require any user of the operating system, provide the date of birth of the user in order to set up an account and to use the operating system."

Now, if A was an issue, whatever. I don't set up a Microsoft account. I don't log in online if I were to set up Windows, and I haven't set up Windows a long time anyway.

But B is the one that's of most concern" to use the operating system."

Now, I'm not a lawyer, so I'm not going to go over this from the legal point of view. I'm mostly going to go over this from the philosophical point of view and the thing in my gut that bothers me when I see this and what I think should bother you.

When you talk about age verification, it just sounds **like something trivial.**

"Whatever. I have an ID. I show up my age. I have a passport. What's wrong? What's wrong with people wanting to know what your age is, right? THEY have to know, right?"

But that's not what this is about. When you have a check in an operating system where there is a another party involved, an authority figure that gets to decide whether or not you are eligible to use the operating system, you've essentially created a a point where somebody can decide whether or not they want you to be able to use the operating system or not. And when you put that infrastructure in place, it is then easier to now create step number two.

And step number two would be, well, we don't want these people to be able to have access to a computer. And here's a great way to do it. Now, you may say that that's a slippery slope fallacy,

but we also live in a world where cops are utilizing cameras that were put up to deter crime and deal with red lights and parking infractions that are now being used to spy on people as they go across the country and it's just kind of being normalized. And a lot of the stuff that [louis rossman]talked about in the Flock Camera playlist.

[Flock cameras are the cameras that are being deployed around Virginia and other areas… I say Virginia because they passed a privacy protection law and broke in with in seven days.

When a Police officer is using traffic cameras to arrest an old lady… because of a mistake made by an Artificial Intelligence glitch. or Police officers being able to see if there's any adult products and in to people's homes and even see if you're moving around in your own home. No need for Cameras.

This is when flock is being used to spy on people. Still is. "you can't breathe with out us knowing" is what one cop said.]

that I'll talk about below, it happens when this type of stuff is snuck through. A lot of the stuff is being snuck through over the past few years.

You're literally creating the infrastructure that would allow this type of TOTALITARIAN stuff to go on. I'm not going to go into how ridiculous it would be to try to get open- source servers and operating systems to comply with this because this is not what this is about.

What this is about is not parents deciding what happens to their children. It's about a third party provider getting to potentially decide who is allowed and not allowed to use a personal computer.

And the reason this bothers me the most is we live in an age where you saw moistcr1tikal's video on the new PlayStation rental being pushed. [easing people to not even own their own stuff anymore.]

you see how expensive PC hardware is becoming and how many manufacturers are just deciding we're only going to sell to the um to the AI companies and people building servers.

It's, again we we already live in an age where we accept, on smartphones, that it's some what normal for you to not be able to install applications of your choice unless the app store provider has allowed you to.

There are so many things that have pushed against the idea of having a personal computer that is yours. And putting a gatekeeper in place or creating the infrastructure to allow a gatekeeper to decide whether or not an individual is able to utilize that computer is the last brick in the wall that we would make us look back 10 or 30 or 50 or 80 years from now and realize that was the moment that we lost freedom. And that's why I'm suggesting that everybody in the audience e-mail, Call, Write their congressional representative in both the Senate and the House and say…

I just want you to know, this this is a template email. I'm just going to put some together for you right now.

> *"Dear sir or madame, I have not voted in 16 or however many years you want to put years. Honestly, I usually don't vote in most of these elections anyway. I am voting in this one. I am a single issue voter. I do not care what occurs with anything else. If you vote in favor of the Parents Decide Act, I will be voting for your opposition in the primary or your opposition in the general election. That's it. Thank you."*

That's it. That's that's all they need to know. That you will that you have not voted. You are somebody who typically does not vote. But if

this is pushed through in any way or supported by that politician, you will break your non-voting record for a long period of time just to vote for their opposition, whoever the they are.

I think that's who politicians tend to be afraid of. They're not worried when they're looking at their base because their bait's going to going to vote the way they're going to vote anyway.

They're not afraid of their opposition cuz their opposition is going to vote the way they're going to vote anyway.

I think they're afraid of that army of people that don't vote, that just show up when something has pissed them off this much, that doesn't show up in the polling, that doesn't show up in the results, that doesn't show up in any of their research, that just comes and sweeps them out of office.

This is unfortunately bipartisan at this point. So, it is really important that regardless of where you are in the political spectrum that you let people know that this is bad. In my opinion, This is worse than the SOAPA and Pippa stuff uh that was came out 13 to 15 years ago. And if something is not done about it, we'll have a system where the "others" are you.

> *I'm being serious when I say that they're putting in place the infrastructure that would allow them in the future to dictate who is and is not allowed to use a personal computer.*

You can't let this happen. Don't let this happen. Don't let apathy, depression, or the lack of desire to Google who your congressional representative is and figure out where the contact form is for them allow this to get pushed through. Don't listen to the people that are going to say there's no point in trying.

Don't listen to the people that are going to say "this is going to happen anyway, both sides," whatever. Just ignore all of the trolling

because when you read a lot of that stuff, what something really important to understand is a lot of comments on the internet are bots.

And the bots are not designed to get you to be Democrat, Republican, labor, conservative, whatever the hell else, they're designed to just get you to feel like there's so much overwhelming that I just don't have time for this and to move on to something else.

It's designed to get you to feel overwhelmed so you just don't engage at all. And that's not that that's not the way to go here.

We shouldn't be calling this the Parents Decide Act. We should be call we got to call this something else.

Something more accurate.

> *We got to come up with a new name for this type of stuff.*
> *somebody else gets to decide whether or not you are allowed to use a computer act because that's the infrastructure that the USA is putting in place. NO one is good enough to decide for others what speech is acceptable or not.*

In order to verify my age, I need to identify who I am. And if I need to identify who I am in order to use the computer, that means that you can deny me access to the ability to use the computer based on whether or not the people in control are in power at that time like me you or the Jews or the POC, Pro=Life or whatever. And I mean with all of the political division that's going on right now around the world and the way that it just kind of swings like a pendulum to who's in power and who's not like nah, forget that.

With the Extremes in power, you think they'd let anyone speak their mind if it threatens their power? They already manipulate media companies to say what information is given oxygen or is covered once and then memory holed.

This law means having a centralized authority that gets to decide who is and is not able to utilize a personal computer.

I don't want to hear cries of "the slippery slope fallacy" because we crossed that line long agao when the cameras that were put up against taxpayer consent were used to

allow police departments to track their ex-wives to across the country. And those same people making the law. A Government complicit with international pedo trafficking ring at the highest points of American ruling class … are they qualified to decide? Especially when one of them as so close to Trump? A prominent person of interest in that case?

WE SHOULD STOP THIS and I don't give a crap. Somebody could say I'm a conspiracy theorist. I don't care, I'll own it at this point. Like, I don't care.

These days, the difference between a conspiracy theory and fact was 6 months. All the people that lost their social media account trying to say "there's something going on here"…

You think that

I need the help of all of you. Email your congressperson, email your senator, and just let them know if you support this in any way, shape, or form. Say that you have not voted in the last 5, 10, 20, 30 years, but you will vote for whoever the opposite of you is in whatever election. I don't care who they are. That's the only thing these people understand.

Fight on. Rights must be protected from government not by it. That has always been the case, sadly people have forgotten that lesson. Bad schooling and all the like.